



Sh Virdavinder Singh, S/o Sh Harbhajan Singh,
R/o vuillage Chokimaan, Tehsil jagraon,
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1002 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Virdavinder Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Sandeep Kumar, Suptd. for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.05.2018 has sought information regarding merit list of recruitment of Medical Lab technician grade-2 as per publication dated 26.07.2011 copy of file relating to appointment letters of Lab Technician and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Health & Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.07.2021. The respondent has submitted a copy of the forwarding letter to the Commission which has been taken on the record.

The appellant claims that he has not received the information. Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the RTI application was filed on 04.05.2018 whereas the first appeal was filed on 02.12.2020 and the 2nd appeal on 18.02.2021 which is time-barred u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act. Section 19(1) reproduced below:

(1) Any person who, does not receive a decision within the time specified in sub-section (1) or clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 7, or is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision prefer an appeal to such officer who is senior in rank to the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, in each public authority: Provided that such officer may admit the appeal after the expiry of the period of thirty days if he or she is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the appeal in time.

Appeal Case No. 1002 of 2021

In this case, no sufficient ground has been put on record to show why the delay in filing the appeal case. Hence, not admitted.

However, a copy of the letter vide which the PIO has sent the information to the appellant is attached with the order for the appellant.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 922 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Gagandeep Kaur Food Safety Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 03.10..2020 has sought information regarding the total number of licenses issued under Food Safety Act 2006 for eatables -notice issued to defaulters who did not get the licenses – the name of the officer appointed for inspection and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food Safety Officer, Patiala.

Since the appellant did not specify the period of information, the PIO vide letter dated 23.10.2020 asked for the same. The appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. The appellant specified the period of information on 19.11.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.12.2020.

The appellant is absent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o sh Harbans Lal,
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 780 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Balbair Singh, Sr. Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 25.06.2020 has sought information on 4 points of action taken on legal opinion sent by District Attorney vide letter No.679 dated 05.06.2020 – a copy of applications No.624, 626, 627, 628 dated 10.06.2020 along with the action is taken report – dispatch register copy form 01.12.2019 to 15.01.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DC Faridkot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant and there is some discrepancy in point-3 which the respondent assured to sort out and provide complete information.

The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies and provide whatever information is available in the record.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o sh Harbans Lal,
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Medical Officer,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 776 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Sunil Sharma, ACFA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.09.2020 has sought information on 4 points regarding name and rank of employees who enter the enroll of death & birth of village Sadhesingh wala – record of birth & death of village Okand wala dated 01.01.2016 to 31.12.2017 – birth entry of late Shahbaj Singh – details of retired employees and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Chief Medical Officer, Faridkot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that they have not received the RTI application of the appellant.

The appellant has handed over a copy of the RTI application to the respondent.

The PIO is directed to look at the RTI application and provide point-wise information to the appellant within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission